

# United States Patent and TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/754,245	01/05/2001	Christopher E. Ruckman	V1000.0003/P003	3645		
24998	7590 08/22/2002					
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER			
2101 L STRE WASHINGT	EET NW ON, DC 20037-1526		TORRES, MELANIE			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3683			
				DATE MAILED: 08/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_		St
•	Application No.	A	pplicant(s)	
	09/754,245	R	RUCKMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	A	rt Unit	
	Melanie Torres	36	583	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the corr	espondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire s o, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely imum of thirty (30) days wil SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED (3	filed I be considered timely. mailing date of this communication S U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.	June 2 <u>002</u> .			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims				s
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	٦.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examir	ner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approve	ed b) disapprove	d by the Examiner.	-
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	-	ion.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been rece	ived.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	s have been rece	ived in Application	No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ireau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	n this National Stage	N
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•	to a provisional applicati	on). M
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •			
Attachment(s)			$\mathcal{M}$	1 /m
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7	4)	Interview Summary (P' Notice of Informal Pate Other:	```````\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	SCHWER EXAMINER
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	ction Summary		Par of Raperillo.	8

Application/Control Number: 09/754,245 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 4, 5, 13 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harper.

Re claims 1, 4 and 5, 13 and 19, Harper discloses a vibration control system comprising an actuator (14, 15), a sensor (27, 28), and a digital control system (35) and wherein the electromagnetic actuator comprises a flux sensor which sends signals representative of the flux generated in the gap between the armature and the magnetic coil. (Column 4, line 66 – Column 5, line 2)

Re claim 2, Harper discloses wherein the magnet coil is integrally fixed to the controlled structure. (Column 4, line 66 – Column 5, line 2)

Re claim 3, Harper discloses wherein the flux sensor is connected to the magnet coil. (Column 4, line 66 – Column 5, line 2)

Art Unit: 3683

Re claim 20, Harper discloses wherein the processor is arranged to calculate the difference between the flux density sensed by the magnetic flux density sensor and the flux density required in the actuator. (Column 5, lines 11-18)

Re claim 21, Harper discloses wherein the electromagnet is integrally connected to the variable-state structure, and the armature is integrally connected to an external structure. (Column 5, lines 11-18)

Re claim 22, Harper discloses wherein the electromagnet is sealed to prevent degradation by fluids and dust. (Fig. 14, 15)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5-12 and 14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harper as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Sandercock.

Re claims 5-7 and 14-18, Sandercock teaches a digital control system for operating actuators as a function of sensed vibration of a variable-state structure, sensed vibration of a feedforward reference and the variable state of the variable state structure. (Column 2, line 64 – Column 3, line 25) It would have been obvious to have

Application/Control Number: 09/754,245

Art Unit: 3683

Page 4

applied the teachings of Sandercock to the system of Harper so as to provide vibration isolation over a variety of frequencies.

Re claim 6, Harper as modified teaches wherein the digital control system includes modal feedback loops for controlling the actuators in response to signals from the vibration sensors (27, 28).

Re claim 7, Harper as modified teaches wherein the gains of the modal feedback loops are controlled as a function of the variable state of the variable-state structure.

Re claim 8, Harper teaches one or more feedforward sensors for sensing vibration of feedforward references.

Re claim 9, Harper teaches wherein the digital control system includes one or more feedforward loops for controlling the actuators in response to signals from the feedforward sensors.

Re claims 10-12, Harper teaches wherein the plant transfer functions of the feedforward loops are controlled as a function of the variable state of the variable-state structure.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/754,245

Art Unit: 3683

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (703)305-0293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703)308-3421. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-2571 for regular communications and (703)308-2571 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

MT August 15, 2002 Page 5